IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JABALPUR

W.P.NO. 1835 of 1997

PETITIONERS : (1) Kanhaiya Lal Yadav, aged 34 years, son of Shri Johar Lal Yadav, working as Peon (Daily Wages), at UCO Bank Main Branch, G. Road, RAIPUR (MP)

(2) Rajaram Mehar, aged 37 years, son of Shri Thanwar, working as Peon (Daily wages), at UCO Bank, Main Branch, G.Road, RAIPUR (MP)

(3) Tarun Kumar Yadav, aged 33 years, son of Shri Chainu Ram Yadav , working as Peon (Daily Wages) at UCO Bank, Divisional Office, 3/97, Anand Nagar, RAIPUR (MP)

versus

RESPONDENTS : (1) UCO Bank through General Manager (Personnel), Head Office, 12, Old Court House Street CALCUTTA (WB)

(2) Zonal Manager, UCO Bank, Zonal Office, T-5, Arera Colony, BHOPAL(MP)

(3) Divisional Manager, UCO Bank, Divisional Office, Raja Talab, 3/97, Anand Nagar, RAIPUR (MP)

(4) Manager, UCO Bank (Main Branch), G.Road, RAIPUR (MP)

OATH COMMISSIONER JABALPUR 9/6/97

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 1835 of 1997

Petitioners : Kanhaiya Lal Yadav & Others

Versus

Respondents : UCO Bank & Others

Shri P.S. Koshy, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri M.R. Chandra, counsel for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(4th July, 2006)

1. The petitioners were engaged as casual labourers on daily wages basis w.e.f. 27.8.1987, 4.4.1988 and 4.4.1988 respectively. The services of the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2 were regularized w.e.f. 1st April, 2004, as per settlement entered into between the trade Union and the Management.

2. Shri M.R. Chandra, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that sanction has already been obtained for regularization of the petitioner No.3 also and the same will be done within a period of three months.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondents, nothing survives in this matter. The petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

(46)

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that there are certain other grievances which may be considered by the management. If any such representation is made, the management may consider the same.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge